

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE L-122600 Bradley Paul Barber 02/12/2001 09/781,820

20808

7590

06/03/2002

BROWN & MICHAELS, PC 400 M & T BANK BUILDING 118 NORTH TIOGA ST ITHACA, NY 14850

EXAMINER

PAPER NUMBER

ROCCHEGIANI, RENZO

2825

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
		09/781,820	BARBER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Renzo N. Rocchegiani	2825	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh et with the	correspond nce address	
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTI	H(S) FROM	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status 3\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I	February 2001		
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	·	nis action is non-final.		
2a)□ 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is	
·	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
•	ion of Claims			
•	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
· .	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
, —	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastias vaguiromant		
-	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er		
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		xaminer.	
ישור (פוי	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
* :	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	20 and/or 121.	
Attachmer	nt(s)	_		
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_

Application/Control Number: 09/781,820

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: claims 5-10 are directed toward a CMP planarization from the top down to reduce the height of the electrode, claims 11-13 are directed toward a method of forming a step-shaped electrode structure, claims 14-15 are directed toward forming a dome-shaped electrode, and claims 16-18 are directed toward a back-etch method.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/781,820

Art Unit: 2825

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Ms. Meghan Van Leeuwen on May 22, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renzo Rocchegiani whose telephone number is (703) 308-5839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Application/Control Number: 09/781,820

Art Unit: 2825

examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith, can be reached at (703) 308-1323. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318.

RNR

May 22, 2002

MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISURY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800